UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,814	09/28/2001	Bruce Alexander	118030	3066
	7590 04/11/200 N O'CONNOR JOHNS	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH AV	VENUE	PESIN, BORIS M		
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	x 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/966,814	ALEXANDER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BORIS PESIN	2174		

		BORIS PESIN	21	174	
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the corr	respondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 20	• •			=	
 The reply was filed after a application, applicant mus application in condition for 		the same day as filing a replies: (1) an amendme eal (with appeal fee) in co	Notice of App nt, affidavit, or ompliance with	eal. To avoid aban other evidence, w on 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expib) The period for reply expi	res 3 months from the mailing date res on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the da		•	
Examiner Note: If box 1 i	ne statutory period for reply expire la s checked, check either box (a) or (L REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07((b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	•		
Extensions of time may be obtained have been filed is the date for purpounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set forth in (b) above, if checked. Armay reduce any earned patent term NOTICE OF APPEAL	under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ses of determining the period of extrom: (1) the expiration date of the spy reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under tension and the correspondi shortened statutory period fo than three months after the	ng amount of th or reply originally	e fee. The appropria y set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
Notice of Appeal has been	filed on A brief in comp (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter i filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avo	oid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS					
· / ·	nt(s) filed after a final rejection, the es that would require further col of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search			cause
	d to place the application in bet		aterially reduci	ing or simplifying th	ie issues for
	onal claims without canceling a c countrieur current with the with the countries with the	· -	finally rejecte	d claims.	
	in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	· · · ·	of Non-Compl	iant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
	rcome the following rejection(s):		31 1 1 011-00111p1	iant Amenament (i	10L-32+).
	ded claim(s) would be all		separate, time	ely filed amendmen	t canceling the
	ne proposed amendment(s): a) claims would be rejected is prov is (or will be) as follows:			entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	o (or will bo) do lollowo.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from c					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE					
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evide	ence filed after a final action, bu o provide a showing of good and				
showing a good and suffic	avit or other evidence failed to o ient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ur , and was not earlier pres	nder appeal ar sented. See 3	nd/or appellant fails 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence of the control of the c		n of the status of the clai	ms after entry	is below or attache	∍d.
11. The request for reconside		t does NOT place the ap	plication in co	ndition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Inform 13. Other:	ation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/David A Wiley/ Supervisory Patent Examine	er, Art Unit 2174				
-					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 1 require further search and consideration. Specifically, the Applicant has limited the generating of the interface to a dynamic means and changed the scope of claims 4, 6, 7, and 8.